KEMPTON PROJECT MEETING 12/3/18

RDO, JDC, DC, SDC, MKP; LMS & AJH 7:30

1. Eradicating “them who”

2. Going over Karen footnote questions:

Footnote references:

“See”

“But see”

“as in...(but literally...)”

Just put in NT ref

magi “as in”

but for “wise men”, see...

, as in

(also --, as in...(but literally...)

when following HD when it’s different from what people are used to

to indicate following a particular original

Matt. 12:36 Karen no. 5 on addendum on refs

See should be dropped. So, Or “useless,”, as in HH 507

Karen is saying reserve “see” for cross refs.?

So

Use see for cross refs and where it is support

For direct quote, just put ref in ( ).

...

Need to make rule book available

Karen: “and elsewhere” Does this turn up other than Gen 49:13?

Summary comment--SDC

Seems as if “see” is often a cover for fuzzy thinking that needs to be sharpened or fixed—often indicates a problematic footnote, not just a difference in wording. Looking at Karen’s questions has brought out questions of broader and more general import that need that need our attention.